

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,597	09/09/2003	Richard H. Chilibeck	1115-015/JRD	5286
24320 75	90 10/19/2004		EXAM	INER
Paul Smith Intellectual Property Law			CECIL, TERRY K	
330-1508 West Broadway Vancouver, BC V6JIW8			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	•	,	1723	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10/659,597	CHILIBECK, RICHARD H.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a inication. If ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON its was a statute. Cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed		
	b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for		
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any object		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to		- , , ,
Priority under 35 H.S.C. & 419		
	refereire missibured a 05110.00	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority decrease.	ocuments have been received.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority december 2. Certified copies of the priority december 2.	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A	pplication No
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d. 2. Certified copies of the priority d. 3. Copies of the certified copies of	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been	pplication No
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority deception of the priority deception of the certified copies of the application from the International	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d. 2. Certified copies of the priority d. 3. Copies of the certified copies of	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority deception of the priority deception of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action * See the attached detailed Office action *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not	pplication No received in this National Stage received.
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International 	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not 4) Interview S Paper No(s	pplication No received in this National Stage received. summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/659,597

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- In part (b) of claim 1, applicant's new limitations requiring the sedimentary deposit tank to be non-electrically driven and passive is not supported by the specification and is considered to be new matter. In applicant's invention, an electrically-driven pump is used to cause flow through the deposit tank. Applicant should delete the new matter.

Claims 2-14 are also rejected since they suffer the same defects as the claims from which they depend.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the

conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,692,636. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of 6,692,636 anticipate all the limitations of claim 1 and anticipation is considered to be the epitome of obviousness.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the new matter and double patenting rejections set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The closest cited art—Trawoger—fails to anticipate or render obvious, alone or in any proper combination, the structural limitations of claim 1 requiring claimed limitations of part (d).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1723

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Contact Information:

- Examiner Mr. Terry K. Cecil can be reached at (571) 272-1138 at the Carlisle campus in Alexandria, Virginia for any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner. Note that the examiner is on the increased flextime schedule but can normally be found in the office during the hours of 8:30a to 4:30p, on at least four days during the week M-F.
- Wanda Walker, the examiner's supervisor, can be reached at (571) 272-1151 if attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful.
- The Fax number for this art unit for official faxes is 703-872-9306.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mr. Terry K. Cecil Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

TKC October 16, 2004